

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/27/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 39524.9100 7260	
10/732,945	12/11/2003	Bao-Chi Peng		
7590 07/27/2006		EXAMINER		
Cynthia L. Pillote			RAMPURIA, SHARAD K	
Snell & Wilmer	r L.L.P.			
One Arizona Ce	One Arizona Center		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
400 East Van Buren			2617	
Phoenix, AZ	85004-2202		D. 100 1 / 1 10 D 00 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	_

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/732,945	PENG, BAO-CHI	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Sharad Rampuria	2617	

	Sharad Rampuria	2617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 29 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7.	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprince in the final Office.	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			CCAUSC
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		,,	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	ter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	04 0		(DTOL 004)
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		Haraba Glad amanda	
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	iowabie ii submitted in a separate,	umely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro-		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	t before as an the date of City a bi		.4.6
3.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>Please see attached sheet.</u></li> </ol>			nce because:
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	√o(s)	
13. ☐ Other:		Λ	
	(Al	Whee Source	
	SUPERVIS	ORY PATERIT EVAL	AINIED

Art Unit: 2617

Applicant's arguments filed on 06/29/2006have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to Applicant's argument that Liu doesn't teach, "An earphone including a detecting device for providing a status signal based on whether a user puts on the earphone;" it is noted that Liu supports the assertion as, a radio frequency circuit 27, detecting an incoming call or not, based on that a call can be processed. For that reason, the call detection technique can easily interpret the claimed invention and it is also a well known in the art. Further Liu explained, "When set at the automatic in-line mode, establishing the connection between the mobile phone receiver/transmitter 1 and the radio earphone receiver/transmitter 2 is determined by the *radio frequency circuit 27* of the radio earphone receiver/transceiver 2. The radio frequency circuit 27 is switched to an energy-saving mode if no incoming call, activated periodically for *detecting if there is an incoming call or not*, and back to the energy-saving mode after detecting no incoming signal. If an incoming call is detected, the radio frequency circuit 27 is activated by the spread spectrum function module 26 for communicating with its counterpart, the radio frequency circuit 15, of the mobile phone receiver/transmitter 1. (See Pg.3; 0029) Hence, it is believed that *Liu still teaches the claimed limitations*.

For that reason, it is believed and as enlighten above, the rejections should be sustained.

The above arguments also recites for the claims 2-18, consequently the response is the same explanation as set forth above with regard to claim 1.

With the intention of that explanation, it is believed and as enlighten above, the refutation are sustained.